



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/506,349 | 09/01/2004 | Motohiro Ohta | 09859/0201805-USO | 1041 |
| 7278 | 7590 | 06/20/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| DARBY & DARBY P.C. | | | HAGOPIAN, CASEY SHEA | |
| P.O. BOX 770 | | | ART UNIT | |
| Church Street Station | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| New York, NY 10008-0770 | | | 1615 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/20/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/506,349 | Applicant(s) OHTA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Casey Hagopian | Art Unit 1615 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Casey Hagopian. (3)_____.

(2) Nicholas Sisti. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 13 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Sisti brought to the examiner's attention that it appeared a typo had been made in the Office Action dated 12/15/2006. The examiner agreed. The 1st sentence of paragraph 4 should read, "claims 1, 3, 7-11, 13-16, 31-33, 37-41, 43-49, 53-57, 59-63, 67-71 and 73-76 are rejected" and the 3rd sentence of paragraph 8 should read, "the 102 rejection of claims 17, 19, 23-27, 29 and 30 in view of Ohta has been withdrawn". The overall status of the claims remains unchanged.